

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 24-03136-01-CR-S-MDH
)	
RICKY J. BRADEN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

ORDER

After the United States moved for pretrial detention, a hearing was held in this matter pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). The defendant was present personally and with his counsel Steven Berry, Assistant Federal Public Defender. The United States was represented by Stephanie Wan, Assistant United States Attorney

The Grand Jury has found probable cause to believe that the offense charged was committed by this defendant. Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, there are no conditions that the Court can impose that would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at all scheduled hearings and the safety of other persons or the community.

The factors to be considered by the Court in determining whether the defendant should be detained pending trial are enumerated in section 3142(g). The evidence at the detention hearing established that the weight of the evidence against defendant is overwhelming.

Regarding the potential for failure to appear, the Court notes the nature and circumstances of the offense charged and defendant's substance abuse history. In addition, the Court notes defendant's criminal history which includes, but is not limited to, the following: prior felony and misdemeanor arrests and convictions; history of failure to appear; pending charges; probation and parole non-compliance; history of absconding; and criminal activity while under supervision.

Regarding the potential danger to the community, the Court notes the nature and circumstances of the instant offense and defendant's criminal history which includes, but is not limited to, the following: prior felony and misdemeanor arrests and convictions; history of weapons possession; criminal activity while under supervision; violent behavior history; probation and parole non-compliance; charge involving domestic violence; charge involving violence; and pattern of similar criminal activity history. In addition, the Court notes defendant's substance abuse history.

Based on all the foregoing, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is a flight risk and finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is a danger to the community.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be and is hereby detained without bail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable

opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 27, 2025

/s/ *David P. Rush*

DAVID P. RUSH

United States Magistrate Judge